Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COPY MAILED

LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201

OCT 27 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Neta Amit et al.

DECISION ON PETITION

Application No. 09/516,402

Filed: March 1, 2000

Attorney Docket No.: MS1.2692US

This is a decision on the petition filed October 3, 2006, to revive the above identified application under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on June 28, 2005, for failure to file a timely response to the Restriction Requirement mailed May 25, 2005, which set a one (1) month period for reply. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed July 31, 2006.

The response to the Restriction Requirement filed August 30, 2006 will be referred to Technology Center 2137 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).